



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/995,648	11/29/2001	Mark A. Kirkpatrick	BS01-299	3215
38516	7590	05/11/2006	EXAMINER	
SCOTT P. ZIMMERMAN, PLLC			ABRISHAMKAR, KAVEH	
PO BOX 3822			ART UNIT	
CARY, NC 27519			PAPER NUMBER	
			2131	

DATE MAILED: 05/11/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary	Application No. 09/995,648	Applicant(s) KIRKPATRICK ET AL.	
	Examiner Kaveh Abrishamkar	Art Unit 2131	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Kaveh Abrishamkar. (3)_____.

(2) Scott Zimmerman (Reg. No. 41,390). (4)_____.

Date of Interview: 08 May 2006.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Sitaraman et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,718,332).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Kaveh Abrishamkar
Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant argues that the CPA does not teach "the validation rules comprising at least three hierarchically organized views, with each view utilizing an execution sequence of validation methods." Applicant argues that the CPA does teach a validation method but not a execution sequence or a hierarchically organized view which each validation sequence is performed in a predetermined order. Examiner will await a formal response from the Applicant and will consider the arguments.